





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,901	02/08/2001	Arthur E. Brown	8494.01P	6601
33197	7590 03/02/2004		EXAM	INER
•	A, BUYAN & MULLI	INS LLP	GIBSON, R	OBERT W
4 VENTURE, IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>,</b>			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		Group Art Unit
•	1 /1~1	3634
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		
Onlind for Donk.	·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	O EXPIRE WYLL (3)	DOM THE MAILING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPINEWONTH(3) TT	10W THE WAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start</li> </ul>	eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, , expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of	s will be considered timely. this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/28	03	····
☑ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19		e merits is closed in
Dispositión of Claims	7 -	
$\bigcirc$ Claim(s) 30,31,34-36,37-4	•	•
Of the above claim(s)	is/are with	drawn from consideration.
☑ Claim(s) 20 31 79 77 6	is/are allo	
	is/are reje	
□ Claim(s)	is/are obje	ected to.
☐ Claim(s)	are subjective are su	
Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing.</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved.	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing.</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been	
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  the priority documents have been	<u>·</u> ·
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing.</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  er)  emational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  er)  emational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  er)  emational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  cted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  er)  ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/779,901

Art Unit: 3662

1. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not understand how claim 39 can properly depend from claim 37. Claim 37 recites that the desired tab location may be changed without separating the tab from said hanging file system", and claim 39 recites that the "tab forms an integral part of said file supporting pocket, and is folded upwardly to dispose it in said extended orientation." It is not understood how the tab of claim 39 can change location, as recited in claim 37.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 3. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 37, 38, 40, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wyant '685.
- 5. Claims 39, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snowden in view of Smith '881 and Snider et al '328.

It would have been obvious to modify the folder and tab of Snowden '057 by making it foldable or taught by Smith '881, so that it may be hidden from view. It would further have been obvious to make the tab "integral" with the folder as taught by Snider et al '328, for simplicity of construction.

6. Claims 30, 31, 34-36 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,901

Art Unit: 3662

7. The patents to Churnick, Weber et al, Epstein et al, and Aaldenberg et al are cited to show similar structures.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Gibson at telephone number 703-308-2168.

Gibson/vs February 12, 2004

ROBERT W. GIBSON, JR
PRIMARY EXAMINER